

PL 99-169  
12/4/8599TH CONGRESS }  
1st Session }

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT  
99-373INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR  
1986

NOVEMBER 14, 1985.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. HAMILTON, from the committee of conference,  
submitted the following

## CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 2419]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2419) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1986 for the intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, for the Intelligence Community Staff, for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, having agreed to so recommend, do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate amendment insert the following:

*That this Act may be cited as the "Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1986".*

## TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

## AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

*SEC. 101. Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1986 for the conduct of the intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the following elements of the United States Government:*

- (1) The Central Intelligence Agency.*
- (2) The Department of Defense.*
- (3) The Defense Intelligence Agency.*
- (4) The National Security Agency.*

71-006 O

## JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 2419) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1986 for the intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, for the Intelligence Community Staff, for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

The Senate amendment struck out all of the House bill after the enacting clause and inserted a substitute text.

The House recedes from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate with an amendment which is a substitute for the House bill and the Senate amendment. The differences between the House bill, the Senate amendment, and the substitute agreed to in conference are noted below, except for clerical corrections, conforming changes made necessary by agreements reached by the conferees, and minor drafting and clarifying changes.

### TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

Due to the classified nature of intelligence and intelligence-related activities, a classified annex to this joint explanatory statement serves as a guide to the classified Schedule of Authorizations by providing a detailed description of program and budget authority contained therein as reported by the Committee of Conference.

The actions of the conferees on all matters of difference between the two Houses (stated in the classified annex to the report accompanying the House bill, and the classified supplement that accompanied the report on the Senate bill) are shown below or in the classified annex to this joint statement.

A special conference group resolved differences between the House and the Senate regarding DOD Intelligence Related Activities, referred to as Tactical Intelligence and Related Activities (TIARA). This special conference group was necessitated by the differing committee jurisdictions between the two Houses and consisted of members of the House and Senate Committees on Armed Services and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence.

The amounts listed for TIARA programs represent the funding levels jointly agreed to by the TIARA conferees and the House and Senate conferees for the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (H. Rept. 99-235). In addition, the TIARA conferees have agreed on the authorization level, as listed in the classified Sched-

ule of Authorizations, the joint statement, and its classified annex, for TIARA programs which fall into the appropriation categories of Military Pay and Military Construction.

#### SECTIONS 101 AND 102

Sections 101 and 102 of the conference report are identical to Sections 101 and 102 of the House bill, with the deletion of unnecessary technical parenthetical references. The Senate Amendment contained substantially similar provisions.

#### SECTION 103

Section 103 of the conference substitute authorizes appropriations of \$50,600,000 for fiscal year 1986 for FBI domestic and international counterterrorism activities and authorizes the Attorney General to make advance payments to State and local law enforcement agencies cooperating in counterterrorism activities, not to exceed a total of \$500,000, from funds authorized for FBI counterterrorism activities. The House bill had authorized \$15,200,000 for FBI domestic terrorism activities in Section 103 and, by virtue of Sections 101 and 102 of that bill as it incorporated its accompanying classified Schedule of Authorizations, authorized a separate amount for FBI international counterterrorism activities. Section 106 of the Senate amendment, sponsored by Senator Bentsen, was substantially similar to Section 103 of the conference report, except that it authorized a total of \$59,000,000 for FBI domestic and international terrorism activities and it specifically authorized forty additional counterterrorism motor vehicles for the FBI. The conferees note that these additional forty vehicles will be provided in fiscal year 1986 appropriations for the FBI.

The classified annex to this joint statement sets forth the allocation of the \$50,600,000 provided in Section 103 of the conference report between the FBI domestic counterterrorism program and the FBI international counterterrorism program.

#### SECTION 104

Section 104 of the conference report authorizes the Director of Central Intelligence, under certain circumstances, to make personnel ceiling adjustments. Section 104 of the House bill contained a substantially similar provision. Section 104 of the Senate amendment is identical to Section 104 of the conference report.

#### SECTION 105

The House bill contained a provision, Section 105, prohibiting funds available to the Central Intelligence Agency, the Department of Defense, or any other element of the U.S. Government involved in intelligence activities from being obligated or expended during fiscal year 1986 for material assistance to the Nicaraguan democratic resistance. The provision also prohibited the obligation or expenditure of any such funds with the effect of providing arms, ammunition or other weapons of war for military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua by any group, organization, movement, or individual. Section 105 of the House bill permitted the provision of

advice and intelligence resistance.

The Senate bill

The conferees (sional action sub Authorization bil of the current sit tains a new Sec paramilitary ope

Section 105, as funds available to of Defense, or ar volved in intelli during fiscal year ance to the Nica or paramilitary ant to Section 10 thorizations refe the National Sec tal Appropriation

*Section 101 of th and as speci ferred to in*

Classified amc agency infrastru provision of infc resistance as de thORIZATION amc training is cont. This authorizati gress in the Sup the United Stat Nicaraguan de designed to ensu plished without ods.

*Section 502 of Section 401 Act*

Subsection 502 any intelligence Congress. The co by the Adminis for Nicaragua. Subsection 502 which funding a major way s become eligible Section 502 of t zation action or ing to military approval either

advice and intelligence information to the Nicaraguan democratic resistance.

The Senate bill had no similar provision.

The conferees carefully considered this issue in light of Congressional action subsequent to passage of Fiscal Year 1986 Intelligence Authorization bills in the House and the Senate, and in the context of the current situation in Nicaragua. The Conference Report contains a new Section 105 which restricts support for military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua.

Section 105, as contained in the Conference Report, provides that funds available to the Central Intelligence Agency, the Department of Defense, or any other agency or entity of the United States involved in intelligence activities may be obligated and expended during fiscal year 1986 to provide funds, material, or other assistance to the Nicaraguan democratic resistance to support military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua only as authorized pursuant to Section 101 and as specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in Section 102 of H.R. 2419, Section 502 of the National Security Act of 1947, or Section 106 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1985.

*Section 101 of the Fiscal Year 1986 Intelligence Authorization Act and as specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in Section 102*

Classified amounts are authorized in Section 101 for intelligence agency infrastructure expenditures related to activities such as the provision of information and advice to the Nicaraguan democratic resistance as described below. In addition, a specific classified authorization amount for communications equipment and related training is contained in the classified Schedule of Authorizations. This authorization is consistent with the action taken by the Congress in the Supplemental Appropriations Act (P.L. 99-88) to allow the United States Government to exchange information with the Nicaraguan democratic resistance. The classified authorization is designed to ensure that an exchange of information can be accomplished without compromising U.S. intelligence sources and methods.

*Section 502 of the National Security Act of 1947, as contained in Section 401 of the Fiscal Year 1986 Intelligence Authorization Act*

Subsection 502(b) states that no funds may be made available for any intelligence activity for which funds have been denied by the Congress. The conferees have agreed to deny all funding requested by the Administration for the paramilitary covert action program for Nicaragua. This Joint Explanatory Statement notes concerning Subsection 502(b) of the National Security Act that a program for which funding has been denied, but which has been restructured in a major way so as to effectively constitute a new program, may become eligible for funding. The conferees emphasize that under Section 502 of the National Security Act, the effect of their authorization action on the Administration's original budget request relating to military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua is to make approval either of a reprogramming or of a transfer the only way

in which funds, materiel, or other assistance beyond what is authorized in Section 101 of this Conference Report and the Classified Schedule referred to in Section 102, as described above, and what may become available pursuant to Section 106 of P.L. 99-88, as described below, could be provided by the intelligence agencies to the Nicaraguan democratic resistance during fiscal year 1986 to support military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua. The CIA Reserve for Contingencies will not, in other words, be available to fund such activity.

*Section 106 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1985 (P.L. 99-88)*

Section 106 of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1985, provides for expedited Congressional consideration of a Presidential request for assistance for the Nicaraguan democratic resistance in addition to the \$27 million appropriated for humanitarian assistance for the Nicaraguan democratic resistance in that Act.

The conferees note that they considered authorizing the intelligence agencies to provide transportation equipment to the Nicaraguan democratic resistance, but determined not to authorize funds for such equipment because the Nicaraguan Humanitarian Assistance Office established by Executive Order 12530 of August 29, 1985, pursuant to the International Security and Development Cooperation Act of 1985 (P.L. 99-83) and the Fiscal Year 1985 Supplemental Appropriations Act, already has the authority to provide transportation equipment as part of the humanitarian assistance program, and the provision of such equipment is not precluded by the definition of humanitarian assistance contained in those Acts so long as no modifications are made to the equipment designed to be used to inflict serious bodily harm or death.

The conferees note that under current law and the restriction contained in Section 105 of this Conference Report, the intelligence agencies may provide advice, including intelligence and counterintelligence advice, and information, including intelligence and counterintelligence information, to the Nicaraguan democratic resistance. Section 105 does not permit intelligence agencies to engage in activities, including training other than the communications training provided for pursuant to Section 105, that amount to participation in the planning or execution of military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua by the Nicaraguan democratic resistance, or to participation in logistics activities integral to such operations.

Section 105 does not permit the departments, agencies, and entities described therein to engage in the solicitation of third countries to provide funds, materiel, or other assistance to the Nicaraguan democratic resistance to support military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua. But Section 105(b)(2) does not restrict the solicitation by the Department of State through diplomatic channels of third country humanitarian assistance of the same kind that the Nicaraguan Humanitarian Assistance Office is authorized to provide to the Nicaraguan democratic resistance, so long as such third country assistance is furnished from the third country's own resources, and the United States does not enter into any arrangement conditioning, expressly or impliedly, the provision of U.S. as-

sistance to a third country to

Section 106 of for design and at the National 106 of the conf Senate amendme vision by virtue classified Schedu ence.

TITLE

Title II of the personnel end-st fiscal year 1986 fiscal year 1986 Agency. The Ho for the Intellig Senate amendm conferees agreed sonnel.

TITLE III—C

Section 301 of the sum of \$101 gence Agency R conference repo Section 301 of th

TITLE IV—F

Section 401 of the National Sec urity Act as co appropriated fund made available only if: (1) Con funds for such Contingencies, intelligence and with Section 50 available by re Secretary of De given prior not the appropriati

istance to a third country on the provision of assistance by such third country to the Nicaraguan democratic resistance.

#### SECTION 106

Section 106 of the conference report authorizes appropriations for design and construction of a research and engineering facility at the National Security Agency headquarters compound. Section 106 of the conference report is identical to Section 105 of the Senate amendment. The House bill contained a corresponding provision by virtue of Sections 101 and 102 of the House bill and the classified Schedule of Authorizations incorporated therein by reference.

### TITLE II—INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF

#### SECTIONS 201, 202, AND 203

Title II of the conference report authorizes appropriations and personnel end-strengths for the Intelligence Community Staff for fiscal year 1986 and provides for administration of the Staff during fiscal year 1986 in the same manner as the Central Intelligence Agency. The House bill authorized \$21,000,000 and 233 personnel for the Intelligence Community Staff for fiscal year 1986. The Senate amendment authorized \$22,283,000 and 233 personnel. The conferees agreed to a total authorization of \$22,083,000 and 233 personnel.

### TITLE III—CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM

#### SECTION 301

Section 301 of the conference report authorizes appropriation of the sum of \$101,400,000 for fiscal year 1986 for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability Fund. Section 301 of the conference report is identical to Section 301 of the House Bill and Section 301 of the Senate amendment.

### TITLE IV—PROVISIONS RELATING TO INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES

#### SECTION 401

Section 401 of the conference report adds a new Section 502 to the National Security Act of 1947. Section 502 of the National Security Act as contained in the conference report provides that appropriated funds available to U.S. intelligence agencies may be made available for an intelligence or intelligence-related activity only if: (1) Congress specifically authorized and appropriated such funds for such activities, (2) for releases from the CIA Reserve for Contingencies, the DCI has given prior notice of the release to the intelligence and appropriations committees of Congress consistent with Section 501 of the National Security Act, or (3) for funds made available by reprogrammings, transfers, or otherwise, the DCI, the Secretary of Defense, or the Attorney General, as appropriate, has given prior notice of such action to the intelligence committees and the appropriations committees.

Section 401 of the House bill contained provisions relating to intelligence funding substantially similar to Section 401 of the conference report, and also contained separate provisions relating to covert arms transfers which are incorporated with modifications in Section 403 of the conference report, discussed below. Section 103 of the Senate amendment contained the single fiscal year provision included in previous intelligence authorization bills corresponding to the provisions relating to intelligence funding contained in the House bill; the Senate amendment did not contain a provision corresponding to the House bill provisions on covert arms transfers.

Section 502 of the National Security Act (relating to intelligence funding) as contained in Section 401(a) of the conference report is the same as Section 502(a) of the National Security Act as contained in Section 401(a) of the House bill, with the following changes: (1) insertion at the beginning of Section 502 of the National Security Act of the word "Appropriated" to make clear that Section 502(a) of the National Security Act applies with respect to appropriated funds; (2) addition of a role for the Attorney General with respect to certain FBI and DEA funding; (3) addition of a provision making clear that nothing in Section 502 of the National Security Act prohibits obligation or expenditure of funds in accordance with Sections 1535 and 1536 of title 31, United States Code (the "Economy Act"); and (4) technical drafting changes such as renumbering of provisions and relocation of definitions.

Section 502(a)(3)(C) of the National Security Act as contained in the conference report ensures that the intelligence and appropriations committees of Congress will receive prior notice when funds specifically authorized for one activity are made available for a different intelligence or intelligence-related activity, by reprogramming, transfer or otherwise. The Director of Central Intelligence, the Secretary of Defense, or the Attorney General, as appropriate, bears responsibility for providing such prior notice.

Under Section 502(a)(3)(C) of the National Security Act as contained in the conference report, the DCI will be responsible for providing prior notice when the activity for which funds are being made available falls within the National Foreign Intelligence Program (NFIP) budget. The Secretary of Defense will be responsible for providing such notice when the activity falls within a Department of Defense intelligence-related budget category. The Attorney General will be responsible for providing such notice when the activity falls within the domestic terrorism budget of the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the Foreign Cooperative Investigations Program of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA). In any other case—that is, when the activity for which funds are being made available falls outside the NFIP, DOD intelligence-related budget categories, the FBI domestic terrorism budget, and the DEA Foreign Cooperative Investigations Program budget—the DCI will be responsible for providing such notice.

Section 502(b) of the National Security Act as contained in the conference report states that no funds may be made available for any intelligence activity for which funds were denied by the Congress. This prohibition applies to the use of all funds available to intelligence agencies, whether programmed or contingency. At the same time, it should be recognized that a program for which fund-

ing was denied major way so barred from be structured programs denied l gressional inte would normal tiate funding. could be used sponse to unf and appropria prior to the pr has not been s

The concer stances could committees of the National activity migh under Section conference re arise in prac should be gu standing as s the conferenc Security Act gally require provided.

The House Central Inte confidential l mation conce detect, monit mation. The gence comm ments to red comparable p

The confere after the date telligence cor policies of th counter, and and outside ment activi which are w for improve This report or disclosure

The confe days of the same subjec days of en

ons relating to in-  
on 401 of the con-  
visions relating to  
th modifications in  
flow. Section 103 of  
cal year provision  
bills corresponding  
g contained in the  
in a provision con-  
ert arms transfers.  
ting to intelligence  
conference report is  
curity Act as con-  
with the following  
502 of the Nation-  
ake clear that Sec-  
with respect to ap-  
Attorney General

3) addition of a pro-  
of the National Se-  
of funds in accord-  
United States Code  
changes such as re-  
tions.

Act as contained in  
ence and appropria-  
notice when funds  
available for a dif-  
fity, by reprogram-  
Central Intelligence,  
eral, as appropriate,  
ice.

ecurity Act as con-  
responsible for pro-  
ch funds are being  
gn Intelligence Pro-  
will be responsible  
bills within a Depart-  
egory. The Attorney  
notice when the ac-  
dget of the Federal  
rative Investigations  
ation (DEA). In any  
ich funds are being  
intelligence-related  
udget, and the DEA  
udget—the DCI will

as contained in the  
made available for  
denied by the Con-  
ll funds available to  
contingency. At the  
ram for which fund-

ing was denied by Congress, but which has been restructured in a major way so as to effectively constitute a new program, is not barred from being funded. However, the conferees agreed that restructured programs which address the same subject matter as programs denied by the Congress should be considered matters of Congressional interest and, by tradition and agreed upon procedures, would normally require reprogramming or transfer approval to initiate funding. The conferees further agreed that contingency funds could be used to fund such restructured programs if a timely response to unforeseen circumstances is required, the intelligence and appropriations committees have been notified at least 15 days prior to the program's initiation, and the use of contingency funds has not been specifically prohibited.

The concern was expressed that extremely unusual circumstances could be imagined in which prior notice to congressional committees of an activity might not be required by Section 501 of the National Security Act of 1947, but the method of funding the activity might require prior notice to congressional committees under Section 502 of the National Security Act as contained in the conference report. The conferees do not expect such an issue to arise in practice, but if it should arise, resolution of the issue should be guided by the principles of comity and mutual understanding as set forth in the statement of managers accompanying the conference report which included Section 501 of the National Security Act (House Report 96-1350). Under all circumstances, legally required notifications to congressional committees must be provided.

#### SECTION 402

The House bill contained a provision requiring the Director of Central Intelligence to review and evaluate the vulnerability of confidential United States Government activities abroad, and information concerning such activities, to efforts by foreign powers to detect, monitor, or counter such activities, or to acquire such information. The DCI was to report to the House and Senate intelligence committees on these matters, including plans for improvements to reduce such vulnerabilities. The Senate bill contained no comparable provision.

The conference substitute requires the President, within 120 days after the date of enactment, to submit to the House and Senate intelligence committees a report on the capabilities, programs, and policies of the United States to protect against, detect, monitor, counter, and limit intelligence activities by foreign powers, within and outside the United States, directed at United States Government activities or information, including plans for improvements which are within his authority to effectuate and recommendations for improvements which are not within his authority to effectuate. This report is to be exempt from any requirement for publication or disclosure.

The conferees intend that the President will submit within sixty days of the date of enactment of H.R. 2419 an interim report on the same subject as the full report required to be submitted within 120 days of enactment. In developing these reports, the conferees



expect the President to consult as appropriate with the intelligence committees with a view toward developing an agenda for action and to consider such information presented to those committees as those committees shall deem appropriate to make available to the President. Submission of the interim report within sixty days is of particular importance to the Senate conferees, since submission of the interim report will coincide with the preparation of a report to the Senate by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence.

#### SECTION 403

Section 403 of the conference report is the same as Section 502(b) of the National Security Act as contained in Section 401(a) of the House bill, with the following changes: (1) Section 403 of the conference report applies only during fiscal year 1986 and (2) technical drafting changes such as renumbering of provisions and relocation of definitions. Section 403 requires prior notification to the intelligence committees of any covert arms transfer where the value of a single article or service exceeds \$1 million. Prior notification is subject to the same terms and conditions as apply to the other significant anticipated intelligence activities under Section 501 of the National Security Act.

The conferees note that the intelligence committees and the Executive Branch have been engaged for well over a year in a cooperative process designed to produce mutual understandings of the term "significant anticipated intelligence activity" as used in Section 501 of the National Security Act of 1947 as it concerns covert action, and in particular covert arms transfers. The conferees express the hope that expeditious completion of this process and fulfillment of the understandings reached in that process will obviate any future need to define further in statute the term "significant anticipated intelligence activity."

#### TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS

##### SECTION 501

Section 501 of the conference report makes clear that nothing in the Intelligence Authorization Act constitutes authority for the conduct of any intelligence or intelligence-related activity not otherwise authorized by the Constitution or laws of the United States. Section 501 of the conference report is identical to Section 501 of the House bill and Section 401 of the Senate amendment.

##### SECTION 502

Section 502 of the conference report authorizes increases, by such additional or supplemental amounts as may be necessary, of appropriations authorized by the Intelligence Authorization Act for salary, pay, retirement, and other benefits for Federal employees for increases in such compensation or benefits authorized by law. Section 502 of the House bill and Section 402 of the Senate amendment contained provisions substantially similar to Section 502 of the conference report.

#### TITLE

The S  
amendin  
U.S.C. 1  
foreign  
ments fo  
dinary c  
U.S. int  
waived  
al waiti  
and the  
court wh

The H  
The co  
fications  
enacting  
Nationa

First,  
the legis  
ralizatio  
cluded t  
naturali  
Nationa  
for at le  
ment to  
purpose  
that res  
kinds of  
normall

Secon  
thority  
through  
ity Act  
counterin  
ing eligi  
disqualif  
shall not  
nization  
ed to ap  
tentia  
member  
example  
both for  
set of di  
of such  
cal utili  
ees.

Third  
naturali  
not not

# TITLE VI—FACILITATING NATURALIZATION OF CERTAIN FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE SOURCES

## SECTION 601

The Senate amendment to H.R. 2419 contained a provision amending section 316 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1427) to improve the ability of the United States to obtain foreign intelligence by authorizing the waiver of certain requirements for naturalization for certain persons who had made extraordinary contributions to the national security or to the conduct of U.S. intelligence activities. The requirements authorized to be waived were general residency and physical presence, the additional waiting period imposed on members of certain organizations, and the requirement that the naturalization petition be filed in the court which has jurisdiction over the petitioner's place of residence.

The House bill had no comparable provision.

The conferees agreed to the Senate provision, with several modifications designed to more clearly express Congressional intent in enacting the amendment to Section 316 of the Immigration and Nationality Act.

First, although the conferees recognized that the basic thrust of the legislation was to remove fixed chronological barriers to naturalization in a small number of very extraordinary cases, they concluded that it would nevertheless be prudent to require any alien naturalized pursuant to subsection 316(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act to have continuously resided in the United States for at least one year. The conferees did not consider this requirement to be unduly burdensome, or to run counter to the underlying purpose of subsection 316(g), especially in light of the probability that resettlement and related administrative processing of the kinds of individuals that subsection 316(g) is designed to benefit normally will take at least several months, if not longer.

Second, the conferees agreed to add a proviso that the waiver authority cannot apply to any alien described in subparagraphs A through D of paragraph 243(h)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1253(h)(2)), relating to persecutors, criminals, and counterintelligence risks. The conferees emphasize that in evaluating eligibility for waivers under subsection 316(g) in light of these disqualifying criteria, references to participation and assistance shall not apply to mere membership in or association with an organization that engages in persecution, but rather must be interpreted to apply to the personal acts of a potential petitioner. Many potential beneficiaries of the amendment are likely to have been members of the foreign intelligence services of other nations, for example the Soviet Committee for State Security (KGB) which has both foreign intelligence and domestic security responsibilities. A set of disqualification criteria that operated to exclude all members of such organizations would deprive subsection 316(g) of any practical utility, and no such anomalous result is intended by the conferees.

Third, the conferees agreed that the maximum number of aliens naturalized pursuant to subsection 316(g) in any fiscal year shall not exceed five.

Fourth, the conferees agreed to a change in the Congressional notification specification that makes clear that the Intelligence and Judiciary Committees of each House are to be informed within a reasonable time prior to the filing of each petition under subsection 316(g). The conferees consider that a "reasonable time" normally would be not less than 30 days.

The conferees expect that the authority provided by subsection 316(g) will be used to reward those aliens who for a significant time have maintained a relationship with the United States. Only in rare instances should expedited citizenship be afforded to defectors with no previous relationship with the United States, and only after careful scrutiny should the promise of expedited citizenship be offered as an inducement for future services.

The conferees emphasize that private immigration legislation remains the preferred method for processing exceptions to Immigration and Nationality Act provisions, because it allows full Congressional consideration of the merits of individual cases. In any event, the Executive Branch should, in each case, determine whether a private bill or use of the waiver authority provided for in subsection 316(g) is most appropriate. The waiver authority is meant for special kinds of situations, and it should not normally be used as an alternative to be employed when efforts to enact private immigration legislation are unsuccessful.

The conferees also emphasize that in informing the Intelligence and Judiciary Committees of determinations to employ the authority in subsection 316(g), enough information must be supplied to the Committees to enable them to reach an informed opinion concerning such use. Thus, the conferees would expect that, consistent with due regard for the protection of intelligence sources and methods, the same kind of information would be supplied as that which is normally available to the Congress when it considers private immigration legislation. The conferees note that the Committees will be particularly interested in obtaining information bearing upon the criteria described in subparagraphs A through D of paragraph 243(h)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act. Nothing in Section 316(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act in any way limits or supersedes the provisions of Title V of the National Security Act of 1947.

The second sentence of Section 316(g)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, as contained in the conference report, does not increase any authority a federal court may now possess to maintain the confidentiality of its proceedings or records, nor does it provide the executive branch with any new authority vis-a-vis the federal courts. The conferees expect that a naturalization court will exercise its discretionary powers to protect intelligence sources, methods, and activities as appropriate.

The conferees expect the Director of Central Intelligence, the Attorney General, and the Commissioner of Immigration to employ the same kind of high-level joint decisionmaking process in connection with the amendment as has been the case with respect to use of the authority contained in section 7 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949.

The conferees also expect that the Director of Central Intelligence, the Attorney General, and the Commissioner of Immigration

will pay close attention to which may be committees.

The conferee expects that the Immigration and Nationality Act requirements of good character

Section 701 of the Defense to allow departments to seek for the necessary funds by law, of rather than re Treasury as in Section 3302 of the Senate bill concerning

The House bill. The conferees expect that these requirements are not available for intelligence purposes: to establish policies and count for all funds. The committee modified, because with the under Congressional Defense should changes, if not fully cover the programs. The relative changes

Section 702 of the Central Intelligence posts at which and Disability credit toward healthful post Section 602 of that only post designation as

The House. Section 702 of the Foreign The conferees expect which have been

will pay close attention to any views on use of subsection 316(g) which may be communicated by the Intelligence or Judiciary Committees.

The conferees note that, although subsection 316(g) of the Immigration and Nationality Act permits waiver of certain naturalization requirements, all other naturalization requirements, including that of good character, continue to apply.

## TITLE VII—ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

### SECTION 701

Section 701 of the conference report authorizes the Secretary of Defense to allow counterintelligence components of the military departments to spend the proceeds of counterintelligence operations for the necessary and reasonable expenses, not otherwise prohibited by law, of the same or similar counterintelligence operations, rather than remitting the proceeds of such operations to the U.S. Treasury as miscellaneous receipts, as currently provided for by Section 3302 of title 31, United States Code. Section 601 of the Senate bill contained a substantially similar provision.

The House bill had no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to the Senate provision with some modifications. These require that the funds received in the course of counterintelligence operations be used only when appropriated funds are not available or cannot practicably be used for counterintelligence purposes. Further, the Secretary of Defense is required to establish policies and develop regulations to control, audit, and account for all receipts into, and disbursements from, the pool of funds. The conferees agreed to accept the Senate provision, as modified, because its authority was to be limited to FY 1986 and with the understanding that, with the submission of the FY 1987 Congressional Budget Justification materials, the Department of Defense should provide recommendations for additional legislative changes, if necessary, to allow the use of appropriated funds to fully cover the requirements of the Foreign Counterintelligence programs. The DOD report should analyze the merits of such legislative changes.

### SECTION 702

Section 702 of the conference report provides the Director of Central Intelligence the authority to establish a list of unhealthful posts at which Agency employees covered by the CIA Retirement and Disability System may opt to receive one-and-one-half year's credit toward retirement for one year's service at such an unhealthful post. Section 702 of the conference report is the same as Section 602 of the Senate amendment, with a change making clear that only posts outside the United States may be considered for designation as unhealthful posts.

The House bill had no comparable provision.

Section 702 of the conference report parallels similar provisions of the Foreign Service Act applicable to Foreign Service Officers. The conferees note, however, that this provision—like many others which have been proposed by the Administration in the past six

years—does not address the problems of all intelligence community employees who serve in similar circumstances. The intelligence committees have often before made this point to the leadership of the intelligence community, but to little avail.

The conferees agree that future proposals for adjustments to intelligence benefits, allowances, or retirement provisions should be presented with a comparison of how present law and circumstances of employment affect intelligence agencies' employees across the board and how the new proposals would change such provisions. In this way, the committees will be able to understand whether a particular provision covers the situations of all those intelligence agency employees who should be considered when legislative adjustments are made. The House conferees note in particular that they will be reluctant in the future to agree to proposals in the benefit, allowance, and retirement areas that do not address the concerns of all intelligence agencies whose employees serve under comparable circumstances.

The conferees believe that, unless some extremely unusual circumstance can be demonstrated, the Director's list of unhealthy locations abroad for purposes of this retirement provision will be the same as the list established by the Secretary of State under the comparable provisions of the Foreign Service Act of 1980.

#### TITLE VIII—ACCESS TO CRIMINAL HISTORY RECORDS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY PURPOSES

##### SECTIONS 801, 802, AND 803

Title VIII of the Senate amendment provided the Department of Defense (DOD), the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), and the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) a right of access to state and local criminal history record information in determining eligibility for (1) access to classified information, (2) assignment to or retention in sensitive national security duties, and (3) acceptance or retention in the armed services.

The House bill contained no corresponding provision.

Title VIII of the conference report includes a modified version of the Senate provision.

Title VIII of the conference report consists of four sections. Section 801(a) adds a new section 9101 to title 5, United States Code, to provide DOD, OPM, and CIA a right of access to state and local criminal history record information in determining eligibility for (1) access to classified information or (2) assignment to or retention in sensitive national security duties. Section 801(b) makes conforming changes to the table of contents of Part III of title 5, United States Code. Section 802 establishes the effective date of the amendments to title 5 made by Section 801(a). Section 803 requires the Department of Justice to report to the Congress within two years after the date of enactment of H.R. 2419 on the effect of the indemnification provisions contained in Section 9101 of title 5, as enacted by H.R. 2419, and contains a sunset provision limiting to a three year period the indemnification provisions of Section 9101.

The conferees note that a majority of States and localities currently cooperate with DOD, OPM and CIA by making criminal his-

tory record information, do not provide state laws limiting access to criminal history for purposes; how the nature of the existing voluntary

#### Statement of A

The conferees upon the following

—under the Constitution to the Nation;

—to meet the need to conduct intelligence activities; and

—to meet the need to conduct intelligence activities; and

—while many are providing and CIA have classified information on a national and local basis, which would be effective

Enactment of the bill is consistent with the Constitution, VI of the Congress, and the laws of the United States.

#### Explanation of

Section 801(a) of the Year 1986 enacted with the caption "Security Purposes" a right of access to information in determining eligibility for (1) access to classified information or (2) assignment to or retention in sensitive national security duties.

Subsection (b) "criminal history," "State," "local," note that the bill includes juvenile records and intelligence or information continue to be

tory record information available voluntarily; some states, however, do not provide such access, either as a matter of policy or due to state laws limiting access to such information. Section 9101 of title 5 will provide a mandatory mechanism for DOD, OPM and CIA access to criminal history record information for national security purposes; however, the conferees do not intend the mandatory nature of the process established by Section 9101 to discourage existing voluntary cooperation.

#### *Statement of Need*

The conferees included Title VIII in the conference report based upon the following considerations:

- under the Constitution, the Congress has the responsibility and power to provide for the common defense and security of our Nation;
- to meet the interests of national security, DOD, OPM, and CIA conduct investigations of individuals for the purpose of determining eligibility for access to classified information or assignment to or retention in sensitive national security duties;
- to meet the interests of national security, DOD, OPM, and CIA need access to criminal history record information when conducting investigations of individuals for the purpose of determining eligibility for access to classified information or assignment to or retention in sensitive national security duties; and
- while many States and localities have cooperated voluntarily in providing criminal history record information to DOD, OPM, and CIA for the purpose of determining eligibility for access to classified information or assignment to or retention in sensitive national security duties, a significant number of States and localities because of their laws or policies have not done so, which has limited the ability of DOD, OPM and CIA to conduct effective investigations.

Enactment by the Congress of the provisions of Title VIII pursuant to its constitutional powers set forth in Section 8 of Article I of the Constitution will, by virtue of the Supremacy Clause in Article VI of the Constitution, supersede anything in the constitutions or laws of the several states which conflicts with Title VIII.

#### *Explanation of Section 9101 of Title 5*

Section 801(a) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1986 enacts a new section 9101 of title 5, United States Code, with the caption "Criminal history record information for national security purposes." Section 9101 will provide DOD, OPM, and CIA a right of access to state and local criminal history record information in determining eligibility for (1) access to classified information or (2) assignment to or retention in sensitive national security duties.

Subsection 9101(a) defines the terms "criminal justice agency," "criminal history record information," "classified information," "State," "local," and "locality" used in Section 9101. The conferees note that the term "criminal history record information" does not include juvenile records, nor does it include the contents of intelligence or investigative files, although such records and files may continue to be provided on a voluntary basis. The term also does

not include the records of a State or locality sealed pursuant to law from access by State and local criminal justice agencies of that State or locality. The conferees excluded such sealed records in deference to the strong policies of a number of States concerning the sealing of certain records; the conferees took care, however, to ensure that federal agencies will enjoy the same status with respect to sealed records as State and local criminal justice agencies enjoy under State and local law. Thus, if a State or locality allows its criminal justice agencies access to a sealed record which otherwise fits the definition of criminal history record information, it cannot deny DOD, OPM, or CIA access under Section 9101 to that record. The definition of "classified information" includes information classified pursuant to Executive Order (currently Executive Order 12356) or pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

Paragraph 9101(b)(1) provides that, upon request by DOD, OPM, or CIA, criminal justice agencies shall make available criminal history record information regarding individuals being investigated by DOD, OPM, or CIA as provided in otherwise applicable law for the purpose of determining eligibility for (1) access to classified information or (2) assignment to or retention in sensitive national security duties. The first category of eligibility determinations, those involving initial or continuing access to classified information, are subject to security clearance requirements established by the President, currently contained in Section 4.1 of Executive Order 12356, which provides: "A person is eligible for access to classified information provided that a determination of trustworthiness has been made by agency heads or designated officials and provided that such access is essential to the accomplishment of lawful and authorized Government purposes."

For restricted data, such determinations are subject to requirements established in the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. The second category of eligibility determinations, those involving sensitive national security duties, relate to positions which involve important national security functions, but which do not involve authorized access to classified information. Examples of such positions are DOD personnel who provide Presidential security support and military personnel responsible for loading nuclear weapons aboard airplanes or ships. These individuals do not necessarily need access to classified information to perform their duties, but their jobs nevertheless involve sensitive national security duties.

The conferees expect DOD, OPM, and CIA, respectively, to establish internal regulations identifying which components of those organizations are authorized to make requests for access to criminal history record information under Section 9101. The Department of Defense has stated that it expects the following DOD components to use the authority granted by Section 9101: Defensive Investigative Service, Naval Investigative Service, Air Force Office of Special Investigations, Army Intelligence and Security Command, and the National Security Agency.

Paragraph 9101(b)(1) requires DOD, OPM, and CIA requests under Section 9101 to State central criminal history record repositories for access to criminal history record information to be accompanied by the fingerprints of the individuals who are the subject of the requests, if State or local law so requires and if the re-

pository uses the fingerprint system. When prints to repositories of the fingerprints of information. In those fingerprints are illegal use in the repository, the repository will print birth and other identification and CIA with the required.

The conferees require submit fingerprints, fingerprints are necessary or locality of the information, which may has records on identifying information.

The conferees require appropriate committees enactment of H.R. 24 requirement. The conferees and localities to coordinate and low-cost retrieval required by this statute. CIA should review criminal history record changing technology, systems.

Paragraph 9101(b)(1) and localities may access to criminal history. The conferees note that such services would provide such cooperation after considering the administrative and conferees concluded option of charging for they provide to DOD.

To protect the federal State or locality charging the criminal history general government against by States and localities information, the legislation OPM, and CIA cannot charge to State or local agencies. Thus, with the States and localities the States and localities under this section localities may levy the information, or the national justice agencies.

led pursuant to law  
ce agencies of that  
ealed records in def-  
ates concerning the  
care, however, to  
ame status with re-  
inal justice agencies  
te or locality allows  
record which other-  
cord information, it  
Section 9101 to that  
1" includes informa-  
currently Executive  
Act of 1954.

uest by DOD, OPM,  
ailable criminal his-  
being investigated by  
pplicable law for the  
s to classified infor-  
sitive national secu-  
rminations, those in-  
ed information, are  
blished by the Presi-  
ecutive Order 12356,  
ss to classified infor-  
worthiness has been  
s and provided that  
t of lawful and au-

e subject to require-  
of 1954. The second  
volving sensitive na-  
h involve important  
t involve authorized  
f such positions are  
ity support and mili-  
weapons aboard air-  
ssarily need access to  
but their jobs never-  
es.

respectively, to estab-  
nponents of those or-  
or access to criminal  
The Department of  
ng DOD components  
Defensive Investiga-  
Force Office of Spe-  
curity Command, and

, and CIA requests  
history record reposi-  
nformation to be ac-  
uals who are the sub-  
quires and if the re-

pository uses the fingerprints in an automated fingerprint identification system. When Section 9101 requires submission of fingerprints to repositories, DOD, OPM, and CIA will submit facsimiles of the fingerprints of individuals who are the subject of requests for information. In those occasional cases in which the facsimiles of fingerprints are illegible, unclassifiable or otherwise insufficient to use in the repository's automated fingerprint identification system, the repository will process the request using the name, date of birth and other identifying information submitted by DOD, OPM, and CIA with the request.

The conferees recognize that DOD, OPM, and CIA will also submit fingerprints, even when not required by Section 9101, when fingerprints are necessary to prevent misidentification by a State or locality of the individual who is the subject of the request for information, which might otherwise occur when the State or locality has records on individuals with similar names and other identifying information.

The conferees request that DOD, OPM, and CIA report to the appropriate committees of Congress within two years of the date of enactment of H.R. 2419 on the effect of the fingerprint submission requirement. The conferees urge DOD, OPM, and CIA and States and localities to cooperate in ensuring effective, accurate, timely and low-cost retrieval of criminal history record information as required by this statute. The conferees believe that DOD, OPM, and CIA should review their practices in obtaining and processing criminal history record information to keep abreast of rapidly changing technology, such as automated fingerprint identification systems.

Paragraph 9101(b)(1) also provides limitations on the fees States and localities may charge to DOD, OPM, and CIA for providing access to criminal history record information under Section 9101. The conferees note that many States and localities currently provide such services voluntarily at no charge, and the conferees hope that such cooperation without charge will continue. Nevertheless, after considering the national security interests of the Nation and the administrative and policy interests of the several States, the conferees concluded that States and localities should have the option of charging for the service which Section 9101 requires that they provide to DOD, OPM, and CIA.

To protect the federal fisc, the legislation provides that the fees a State or locality charges cannot exceed the reasonable cost of providing the criminal history record information. To protect the federal government against the possibility of discriminatory treatment by States and localities in setting fees for criminal history record information, the legislation provides that the fees charged to DOD, OPM, and CIA cannot exceed the fees the States and localities charge to State or local agencies which are non-criminal justice agencies. Thus, with respect to fees, the legislation provides that the States and localities need not charge DOD, OPM, or CIA when the States and localities provide criminal history record information under this section, but if they choose to do so, the States and localities may levy the lesser of the reasonable cost of providing the information, or the fee they charge to State or local non-criminal justice agencies. Funds authorized to be appropriated by H.R.



2419 to DOD intelligence components and to the CIA which are available for investigations for determining eligibility for access to classified information or for assignment to or retention in sensitive national security duties will be available for the payment of fees levied by States or localities for access to criminal history record information. The conferees are agreed that DOD, OPM, and CIA resources should be adjusted appropriately by the Congress in the future to provide the necessary funds from which such fees may be paid. The conferees request that DOD, OPM, and CIA report annually to the appropriate committees of Congress on their experience with respect to fees for access to criminal history record information under Section 9101.

Paragraph 9101(b)(2) provides that subsection 9101(b) applies notwithstanding any laws or regulations of States and localities and notwithstanding any other federal laws. Thus, subsection 9101(b) preempts any conflicting State or local laws and supersedes any inconsistent federal laws. It does not, of course, affect complementary federal statutes which do not conflict with subsection 9101(b), such as Section 520a of title 10, United States Code.

Paragraph 9101(b)(3) provides that upon request by a State or locality, DOD, OPM, or CIA shall enter into an agreement to indemnify and hold harmless such State or locality, and its officers, employees, and agents, from any claim based on disclosure or use by DOD, OPM, or CIA of criminal history record information obtained from the State or locality, if, upon the date of enactment of the legislation, State or local law has the effect of prohibiting disclosure of such information to DOD, OPM or CIA. The scope of indemnification includes damages, costs and other monetary loss, whether or not suit is instituted. The conferees expect DOD, OPM, and CIA to consult with each other and with the Department of Justice ensure that indemnification agreements into which DOD, OPM, and CIA enter under the authority of Section 9101 properly protect the interests of the United States.

The conferees expect the indemnification provision to be a one-time-only exception to the general policy against indemnification. In this legislation, because of the unique combination of national security concerns, issues of states rights, and a need to respect the privacy rights of Americans, the conferees concluded that this exception is appropriate. The conferees emphasize that, not only is the federal government forcing states to disclose criminal history record information, but also a State must make disclosure to the federal government even when the State's law, because of a legitimate concern for the accuracy of the underlying records and privacy of its citizens, directly prohibits the disclosure. But for this legislation, such a State would not be exposed to any liability.

While agreeing to the indemnification provision because of the special circumstances noted, the conferees are very concerned that it not unduly burden the federal government or otherwise generate unintended consequences. Therefore, the conferees have added subsection 803(b) to H.R. 2419, a three year sunset provision applicable only to Section 9101(b)(3), relating to indemnification. At the end of the three-year period, or sooner, the Congress will have the opportunity to decide whether to retain the existing indemnification pro-

vision, expand it to sion, or do nothing.

Paragraph 9101(b) DOD, OPM, or CIA under the authority General and the ap whenever a claim i cers, employees, or cation agreements. sures that the Unit exercise the right g minations regardin fense of the claim peals.

Subsection 9101(c) rights of individual requests under Sec tion. Subsection 9101(d) ing such informati DOD, OPM, or CIA ual for the release ing eligibility for a or retention in sen: consent of the indi DOD, OPM, or CIA or local criminal hi

Subsection 9101(c) disclose or use crin Section 9101 excep fied information, d tion in sensitive na criminal justice pu 9101 makes clear, rry record informat determining eligibi signment to or ret reference to "natio section 9101(d) doe permissible purpos arises, DOD, OPM record information for authorized nati conferees expect th permit access wher part of an authoriz

The conferees r criminal justice p verse of routine u routine use staten of title 5, United : to national securit use of criminal his security cases in t conferees also note th

the CIA which are  
 ability for access to  
 retention in sensitive  
 the payment of fees  
 criminal history record  
 DOD, OPM, and CIA re-  
 the Congress in the  
 such fees may be  
 and CIA report annu-  
 on their experience  
 ory record informa-

9101(b) applies not-  
 and localities and  
 subsection 9101(b)  
 and supersedes any in-  
 fect complementary  
 section 9101(b), such

est by a State or lo-  
 agreement to indem-  
 and its officers, em-  
 disclosure or use by  
 nformation obtained  
 nactment of the leg-  
 inhibiting disclosure of  
 cope of indemnifica-  
 ary loss, whether or  
 D, OPM, and CIA to  
 ent of Justice ensure  
 DOD, OPM, and CIA  
 perly protect the in-

provision to be a one-  
 against indemnification.  
 combination of national  
 a need to respect the  
 included that this ex-  
 size that, not only is  
 lose criminal history  
 disclosure to the  
 , because of a legiti-  
 ing records and priva-  
 re. But for this legis-  
 y liability.

ision because of the  
 very concerned that  
 or otherwise generate  
 rees have added sub-  
 provision applicable  
 ication. At the end of  
 will have the oppor-  
 indemnification pro-

vision, expand it to cover more States, adopt an immunity provi-  
 sion, or do nothing.

Paragraph 9101(b)(3) imposes upon a State or locality with which  
 DOD, OPM, or CIA has entered into an indemnification agreement  
 under the authority of Section 9101 a duty to notify the Attorney  
 General and the appropriate United States Attorney expeditiously  
 whenever a claim is made against the State or locality, or its offi-  
 cers, employees, or agents, which may be subject to the indemnifi-  
 cation agreements. The requirement for expeditious notification en-  
 sures that the United States will have an effective opportunity to  
 exercise the right granted to it by the legislation to make all deter-  
 minations regarding the settlement or defense of the claim. De-  
 fense of the claim includes all aspects of litigation, including ap-  
 peals.

Subsection 9101(c) provides the key requirement protecting the  
 rights of individuals who are the subjects of DOD, OPM, and CIA  
 requests under Section 9101 for criminal history record informa-  
 tion. Subsection 9101(c) prohibits DOD, OPM, and CIA from obtain-  
 ing such information about an individual under Section 9101 unless  
 DOD, OPM, or CIA has received written consent from the individ-  
 ual for the release of such information for the purpose of determin-  
 ing eligibility for access to classified information or assignment to  
 or retention in sensitive national security duties. Thus, the written  
 consent of the individual under investigation is a prerequisite to a  
 DOD, OPM, or CIA request under Section 9101 for access to State  
 or local criminal history record information on that individual.

Subsection 9101(d) provides that DOD, OPM and CIA may not  
 disclose or use criminal history record information obtained under  
 Section 9101 except for determining eligibility for access to classi-  
 fied information, determining eligibility for assignment to or reten-  
 tion in sensitive national security duties, or for national security or  
 criminal justice purposes authorized by law. As the text of Section  
 9101 makes clear, DOD, OPM and CIA may request criminal histo-  
 ry record information under Section 9101 only for the purposes of  
 determining eligibility for access to classified information or for as-  
 signment to or retention in sensitive national security duties; the  
 reference to "national security or criminal justice purposes" in sub-  
 section 9101(d) does not change in any way that limitation on the  
 permissible purposes for a request. However, when a specific need  
 arises, DOD, OPM or CIA may disclose or use criminal history  
 record information in its possession obtained under Section 9101  
 for authorized national security or criminal justice purposes. The  
 conferees expect that this provision will be construed narrowly to  
 permit access when necessary for such purposes, for example as  
 part of an authorized criminal or counterintelligence investigation.

The conferees note that the reference to national security or  
 criminal justice purposes does not include the much larger uni-  
 verse of routine uses described by DOD, OPM, or CIA under their  
 routine use statements published in accordance with Section 552a  
 of title 5, United States Code (Privacy Act of 1974). The reference  
 to national security purposes does, however, include disclosure and  
 use of criminal history record information in litigation of personnel  
 security cases in the courts or before boards and agencies. The con-  
 ferees also note that subsection 9101(d) constitutes a nondisclosure

statute for purposes of paragraph 552(b)(3) of title 5, United States Code (Freedom of Information Act).

Subsection 9101(d) also grants to an individual who is the subject of a DOD, OPM or CIA request under Section 9101 for criminal history record information a right of access to the information obtained by DOD, OPM, or CIA. The conferees are agreed that the written consent form required by subsection 9101(c) should include a specific notice to the individual whose consent is sought that he has a statutory right of access to the information received by DOD, OPM, or CIA pursuant to the consent under Section 9101. This individual right of access to the information received under Section 9101 by DOD, OPM and CIA is important to ensure that the individual is able to proffer any explanation, correction, or addition that such individual may wish to provide to facilitate an informed decision by the department, office or agency.

#### LIMITATION ON BUDGET AUTHORITY

Section 503 of the House bill ensured the consistency of House action on the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 and the Intelligence Authorization Act, 1986. Subsequent action by the conference on the defense authorization act and by this conference ensures the harmony of authorization actions taken in those acts, obviating the need for the House provision. The Senate amendment contained no comparable provision. The conference substitute does not include the House provision.

LEE H. HAMILTON,  
LOUIS STOKES,  
DAVE MCCURDY,  
ANTHONY C. BEILENSON,  
ROBERT W. KASTENMEIER,  
DAN DANIEL,  
ROBERT A. ROE,  
GEORGE E. BROWN, Jr.,  
MATTHEW F. MCHUGH,  
BERNARD J. DWYER,  
BOB STUMP,  
ANDY IRELAND,  
HENRY J. HYDE,  
DICK CHENEY,  
BOB LIVINGSTON,  
BOB MCEWEN,

For consideration of matters within the jurisdiction of the Committee on the Judiciary under clause 1(m) of House Rule X,

PETER W. RODINO, Jr.,  
ROMANO L. MAZZOLI,  
DAN LUNGREN,

For consideration of matters within the jurisdiction of the Committee on Armed Services under clause 1(c) of House Rule X,

LES ASPIN,  
SAMUEL S. STRATTON,  
WM. L. DICKINSON,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*